



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/542,418	04/04/2000		Thomas F Dibiaso		5678
110	7590	07/09/2002			
DANN DOR	FMAN I	HERRELL & SK	EXAMINER		
SUITE 720 1601 MARKET STREET				NGUYEN, TUAN N	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2307				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3653	
				DATE MAILED: 07/09/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

O9 /542 418

D.B.aso et

Examiner

Tuan Nguyen

Applicant(s)

Art Unit

3653

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE three (3) MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ne mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	04/15/02					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ★ This ac						
3) \square Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ p$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle; 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) $1-3$, $14-19$, $33-2$	10 and 48-70 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideratio					
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
5) Claim(s) 1-3, 14-19, 33-40	and 48-70 is/are rejected.					
/)LJ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requiremen					
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/a	•					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: all approved bil disapproved.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13)□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign part a)□ All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	ne certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
Attachment(s)						
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18] Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).					
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/542,418

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. After further reconsideration, a new ground of rejection will be applied in this Office action.
- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in Paper No. 7 filed on Sept. 20, 2001 is acknowledged.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 4. Claims 14-19, 33-40 and 48-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Kruk, Jr. et al..

Kruk, Jr. et al. disclose an apparatus and a method for processing mail envelopes having a plurality contents or documents. Since Kruk, Jr. et al. do not specify how many documents inside an envelope, it is reasonable to assume the envelope can have three documents inside. The apparatus and method comprise an envelope opener (Fig. 6) to severe and open three edges (column 26, lines 17-20) of each of the envelopes; an extractor (column 7, lines 14-16) to extract the contents or documents from the opened envelope and to singulate the received the extracted contents or documents in face-to-face relation and serially feed the contents or documents along a conveying path. Kruk, Jr. et al. further has a feeder (unshown) for feeding the mail envelopes to the envelopes opener; a thickness detector (column 25, lines 7-19) for detecting the thickness of

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/542,418

Art Unit: 3653

each of the envelopes; a system controller 46 to control the feeder to feed a trailing piece of mail envelope in response to the detected thickness of a leading piece of mail envelope to maintain a proper spacing between the leading and trailing piece of mail envelopes; an orienting station (column 16, lines 40-43) to detect and correction a proper orientation of the extracted contents or documents; and a sorter (unscannable contents set aside in Fig. 19) for sorting the extracted contents or documents into one of a plurality bins (unshown).

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kruk, Jr. et al..

Application/Control Number: 09/542,418 Page 4

Art Unit: 3653

Kruk, Jr. et al. have been discussed in paragraph 4 above. However, Kruk, Jr. et al. do not disclose setting a depth of the third edge cut to be greater than a depth of cut of either the first or second edge.

It would have been obvious to one skill in the art to modify the depth of the third edge cut of Kruk, Jr. et al. to be greater than the depth of cut of either the first or second edge. Such modification depends from the size of the contents or documents inside the mail envelope, i.e. if the size of the contents or documents is smaller than the size of the envelope, the depth of the third edge cut can be greater than the depth of cut of the first and second edge.

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 14-19, 33-40 and 48-70 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Tuan Nguyen at telephone number (703) 308-3664.

tuan N. NGUYEN 7/1/02
PRIMARY EXAMINED

tnn,

July 01, 2002.

••